INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| FILE NUMBER: | 2004-01989 | regulatory Field Office |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: | Ken M Jones | Date: October 27, 2004 |
| PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMP | LETED: In the office (N) At the project site (Y) | Date: NA Date: October 27, 2004 |
| PROJECTLOCATION INFORMATION | 1: | |
| State: | | <u>Tennessee</u> |
| County: | | <u>Knox</u> |

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: N 36d 04' 35.51"

W 83d 50' 35.73"

Nachvilla Dictrict: Factory Dogulatory Field Office

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 25.98 Acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Murphy Crk watershed (Tennessee

River)

SITE CONDITIONS:

DISTRICT OFFICE.

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|----------|
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | 1500 | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | L | | | | | <u> </u> |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown | | |
|--|----------|----|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | | Use Best Professional Judgment | | |
| | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected to | Not Able To Make |
| | | | to Occur | Occur | Determination |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | | | Yes | | |
| Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that | | | Yes | | |
| cross state lines? | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | | X |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | X | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary ___ Or Approved Yes.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

- 1. The site is 25.98 acres and proposed for a housing subdivision. Currently this land is wooded.
- 2. No wetland on site. TDEC/WPC has identified channel as an intermittent stream. Channel has bed and bank, and showed defined high water mark.
- 3. All water leaves the site through one channel. After leaving site, all the water enters a sinkhole.
- 4. No other waters of the U.S. are on site.